



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/673,135	10/11/2000	Georg Burkhardt	077680/0114	9525
75	90 12/30/2002			
Richard L Schwaab			EXAMINER	
Foley & Lardner Washington Harbour Suite 500 3000 K Street NW Washington, DC 20007-8696			CHARLES, MARCUS	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
<b>.</b>			3682	
			DATE MAILED: 12/30/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		L			
	Applicant(s)				
	BURKHARDT ET AI	L.			
	Art Unit				
	3682				
vith the correspond nce address					
N IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. his application. A proper reply to a nent which places the application in (3) a timely filed Request for Continued					
. p)]					
t forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no ling date of the final rejection. HS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP					
87 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee ount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under nally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in le final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any					
hin the period set forth in smissal of the appeal.					
search (	see NOTE below);				
l by materially reducing or simplifying the					
mber of	finally rejected clair	ns.			
ed in a separate, timely filed amendment					
een considered but does NOT place the					
SOLELY	SOLELY to issues which were newly				
	)☐ will be entered ow or appended.	and an			

## **Advisory Action**

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
09/673,135	BURKHARDT ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Marcus Charles	3682	

--The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cov r sh et w

THE REPLY FILED 12 December 2002 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of the final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amenda condition for allowance: (2) a timely filed Nation of Append (with appendix as a file of Appendix as

Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	d
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]	
a) $\square$ The period for reply expires $\underline{4}$ months from the mailing date of the final rejection.	
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In revent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.  ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).	
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fe have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee un 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set fort (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce a earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	nder th in
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.	
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:	
(a) ☑ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);	
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);	
(c) $\boxtimes$ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying issues for appeal; and/or	the
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.	
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .	
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):	
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendm canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	ent
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:	ne
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	
7.⊠ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a)⊠ will not be entered or b)□ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.	
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	
Claim(s) allowed:	
Claim(s) objected to:	
Claim(s) rejected: 1-44, as per final rejection mailed 08-12-2002.	
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	
8. ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.	
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	
10. Other:	
Marcus Charles	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office



Continuation of 2. NOTE: The amendment to claim 1, raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search..